

F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/RJ-34/ 908

By Speed Post / Registered  
29 April, 2005

**ORDER**

For the purposes and in pursuance of the provisions contained in Section 13 of the NCTE Act, 1993, NCTE Headquarters conducted inspection of the Rajasthan Shikshak Prashikshan Vidypeeth, Shahura Bagh, Amer Road, Jaipur, Rajasthan on 22.07.2003 and after having received the report/findings from the inspection team and seeking response of the institution on the deficiencies reported by the inspection team, the same are forwarded to the office of NRC to take further necessary action in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, Rules, Regulations etc. The deficiencies pointed out are as under:

- (i) The Principal for B. Ed. Programme is not qualified as per NCTE norms.
- (ii) Out of seven full time regular lecturers appointed for the B. Ed. Section, three do not have NET qualification. It is also not clear as to whether they qualify for exemption from possession of NET.
- (iii) The educational technology lab needs to be strengthened. It does not have adequate number of PCs and electronic publications on education.
- (iv) The number of teaching days for Shiksha Shastri fall short of the requirement laid down in NCTE norms.
- (v) Out of ten lecturers appointed for Shiksha Shastri, eight do not have NET qualification.
- (vi) The list of teachers appointed in the institution has not yet been cleared by the affiliating University.
- (vii) It is not clear from the list of teaching staff provided by the institution as to whether arrangement has been made for part time instructor for teaching Arts and Craft, Music, Physical Education etc.
- (viii) The salary paid to the teaching staff is not in accordance with the pay scales prescribed by UGC / Central Government or State Government.
- (ix) The Endowment Fund has not been put under joint operation with the representative of the NRC.
- (x) The institution does not maintain adequate Reserve Fund.

2. AND WHEREAS, the said report of the inspection team and the reply of the institution were placed before the NRC in its 80<sup>th</sup> meeting. Having considered the same, the Committee was of the opinion that the reply and

Contd. 2...



